PERSONAL JOURNAL

mile in ANIARO

sweeten the sileage offers frequent flic Travel Water Page 1

2005 Dow Jones & Company. All Rights Reserved.

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2005 D

Aches & Claims / By Robert J. Davis

The Science of Running Barefoot—in Shoes

It sounds like a strange contradiction: barefoot shoes. But two brands of such shoes, Masai Barefoot Technology and Nike Free, are being hailed by manufacturers as a way to strengthen muscles that aren't taxed by normal shoes. Both supposedly improve athletic performance, and MBTs are also said to increase circulation and reduce back pain, among other things. Though each type of shoe has its enthusiasts, there's limited independent, published research to back up the claims, and foot doctors warn the shoes aren't right for everyone.

MBT's manufacturer, Swiss Masal, says the shoe is inspired by the East African Masai people, who walk barefoot on soft, uneven terrain. As a result, they have few back and joint problems, according to the company. The MBT shoe has a multilayered sole with a rounded heel, which creates an unstable feeling of walking on a ball or sand. To stay balanced, the wearer is forced to use small muscles in the feet and legs.

As these muscles strengthen, says the manufacturer, the load on the joints decreases, resulting in less pain. The shoes are also claimed to "lengthen" the body by improving posture and promote weight loss by burning more calories. Some proponents say the shoes can even reduce cellulite.



To make their case, MBT promoters often point to company-funded research conducted at the University of Calgary. The study, which included only eight subjects, concluded that MBTs result in more muscle activity than standard shoes while the user is standing, and less stress on knee and hip joints during walking. A recently completed study by the same team suggests the shoes may reduce knee pain in certain people with arthritis. Other small studies done in the U.K. and Germany have found that the shoes positively affect posture and gait. There's no credible evidence, though, that MBTs reduce the appearance of cellulite.

MBTs are sold in a variety of styles, including sandals, sneakers, boots and business shoes. They're expensive, running as much as \$250 or more per pair.

Nike Frees, which cost \$85 to \$100, are targeted to a more limited audi-

ence: athletes. Designed differently than MBTs, Frees don't have an unstable sole but instead allow feet to move naturally as they would without shoes. The idea comes from the experience of some elite athletes and their coaches, who have found that training barefoot helps increase strength and speed, and reduce injuries.

German research funded by
Nike Inc., Beaverton, Ore., shows
Frees improve foot strength and
flexibility when used during warmups. Some foot doctors say the shoe
may be fine for elite athletes but
doesn't provide enough support for
the average runner. As a result,
they worry that Frees could cause
tendinitis and other injuries like
those they see in weekend warriors
who go running barefoot on the
beach. Nike says that there have
been no injuries during testing and
that the shoes are safe when used
as intended as a training tool.

If you decide to try Frees or MBTs, learn from a knowledgeable salesperson how to use the shoes properly. Break them in gradually, and don't expect them to reshape your body or cut your running time in half. Remember that despite the claims, they are, after all, just shoes.

-With reporting by Debra Goldschmidt

Email Aches@wsj.com.